## **EXHIBIT 125**

```
1
      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2
     GOVERNMENT OF THE
3
     UNITED STATES VIRGIN
     ISLANDS,
                             : CASE NO.
4
                             : 1:22-CV-10904
     Plaintiff,
                               -JSR
5
           V.
6
     JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,
7
     N.A.,
8
     Defendant/Third Party
     Plaintiff.
9
     JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,
10
     N.A.,
11
     Third Party Plaintiff,
12
           V.
13
     JAMES EDWARD STALEY,
14
     Third Party Defendant. :
15
      CONFIDENTIAL - PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
                    VOLUME II
16
                  July 6, 2023
17
18
           Continued videotaped deposition of
    MARY CASEY, taken pursuant to notice, was
    held remotely via Zoom Videoconference
19
    beginning at 2:07 p.m., on the above
    date, before Michelle L. Gray, a
20
    Registered Professional Reporter,
    Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certified
21
    Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public.
22
              GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
23
          877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
                  deps@golkow.com
24
```

- 1 that way?
- 2 A. She wasn't comfortable with
- 3 him.
- 4 O. Because of the conviction in
- <sup>5</sup> Florida?
- A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Did you ever ask her why she
- 8 continued to approve his due diligence
- 9 reports?
- A. No. But I -- so I didn't
- 11 ask her specifically why she would
- 12 approve them. But, again, as I had taken
- the reasonable steps as part of the same
- 14 policy, she's subject to the same policy.
- 15 She would also be taking reasonable steps
- to be comfortable with the sponsorships,
- 17 since she's signing it as well. Those
- 18 reasonable steps would include
- 19 conversations, particularly with
- 20 Mr. Staley, who knew Mr. Epstein best.
- Q. But, again, all you can
- 22 remember Mr. Staley saying to you, at
- least, was that he felt Mr. Epstein
- 24 should remain a client of the Private

- 1 They were part of what would bring the
- <sup>2</sup> due diligence reports together. So they
- were part of the due diligence process.
- I don't know about, when you
- 5 say "discuss," I'm not sure if you mean
- 6 discuss, like, we did have meetings about
- <sup>7</sup> him, et cetera.
- 8 Q. So when you are -- I'm just
- 9 speaking now about --
- <sup>10</sup> A. Yeah.
- Q. -- the due diligence that
- 12 you did to form the judgment that the
- <sup>13</sup> appropriate thing to do with respect to
- 14 Mr. Epstein is to approve his due
- 15 diligence report.
- A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Did you discuss that issue
- with the compliance group?
- A. So in our -- part of how I
- <sup>20</sup> formed my reasonable judgment to approve
- the DDRs as part of the sponsorship
- policy, I would call upon or, if you
- <sup>23</sup> will, part of my diligence was escalating
- 24 and participating in brisk discussions

- 1 with senior management, compliance
- included in that, about his relationship
- <sup>3</sup> with the firm. That was part of what I
- 4 consider reasonable steps to then be able
- 5 to approve a DDR, based on the
- 6 sponsorship policy.
- 7 Q. And aside from compliance
- 8 and legal, who else are you including in
- 9 your definition of senior management?
- A. So my definition of senior
- management would be both my chain of
- management, if you will, my boss up to
- the head of the Private Bank. And it
- would also include Mr. Staley, who
- 15 sometimes was my direct line and
- 16 sometimes was not, when he moved to the
- investment bank. But he would be part of
- those discussions as well and part of the
- 19 diligence I would do.
- Q. And when you say -- I forget
- whether you used -- I think the word
- 22 "direct line" --
- A. Yes.
- Q. You used direct line.